


PROJECT NO. 278-91 (B 91-204)



**PROJECT** Water Control Structure at  
Salt Bayou

**BORING** 91-204

**DATE** 7/27/91

**TYPE** 3" Auger

**LOCATION** See Plan

DEPTH IN FEET	SYMBOL	CORES	DESCRIPTION	BLOWS/FOOT	M.C., %	U.D.W. PCF	COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH-TSF					LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT
							0.5	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5		
0	▽		Very soft dark gray silty clay(CH) 0'-4'	108									
				93	49							96	36
5				110									
				109	41							88	33
10			Stiff light tan & gray clay (CH)	97									
				32									
				25									
15				24									
			Bottom @ 16'										
20													
			Date: 7/27/91										
			Time: 12:00 p.m.										
			Temperature: 92°F										
25			Weather: Sunny & hot										
			Logger: Chaoyong Sriprasitdh										
			Driller: Dempsey Gearen										
			Machine: D & R 100										
30													
35			NOTE: Unconfined compression										
			and pocket penetrometer										
			plotted as ½ of the										
			laboratory value.										
40													
45													
50													

BORING DRILLED TO 16 FEET WITHOUT DRILLING FLUID  
WATER ENCOUNTERED AT 1 FEET WHILE DRILLING  
WATER LEVEL AT 0.5 FEET AFTER ½ HOURS




**PROJECT: SALT BAYOU WATER CONTROL STRUCTURE**

[illegible]

# GRAIN SIZE CURVES

U S STANDARD SIEVE SIZES



**AYLES**  
ENGINEERING CORP.  
GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERS

GRAVEL		SAND			SILT	CLAY
COARSE	FINE	COARSE	MEDIUM	FINE		

Curve No.

Boring No.

Depth, Ft.

Material


91-204

2'-4'

Very soft dark gray silty clay (OH)  
w/ grass roots

## GRAIN SIZE CURVES

U S STANDARD SIEVE SIZES



**AVILES**  
ENGINEERING CORP.  
GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERS

GRAVEL		SAND			SILT	CLAY
COARSE	FINE	COARSE	MEDIUM	FINE		

Curve No.

Boring No.

Depth, Ft.


Material

91-204

6'-8'

Very soft dark gray silty clay (OH)

UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST  
STRESS-STRAIN DIAGRAM



BORING NO. 91-204

DEPTH 6-8 FT.

Review of Aviles Report  
on Salt Bayou Water Control Structure

1. The plates showing description of materials, moisture content, unit dry weight, shear strength and atterberg limits are somewhat misleading. The presentation of unconfined compressive stress as a shear strength does <sup>not</sup> ~~ent~~ appear appropriate. Shear strength is usually taken as one half the unconfined compressive stress.
2. A comparison of the plots of pocket penetrometer readings with those shown on the driller's log appears to indicate that most if not all of the field readings were used on the plots. The contracts states: "The consistency of undisturbed cohesive materials shall be determined in the laboratory by taking pocket penetrometer readings in accordance with procedures outlined in Paragraph 8.6." Were pocket penetrometer readings taken in the laboratory?
3. A number of the torvane readings shown on the plots indicate no shear strength. What in fact were the torvane readings? A tabulation of the torvane readings should have been presented on the Summary of Laboratory Test Data.
4. The moisture - density relationship does not appear reasonable for some samples. Sample 6 from Boring No. 91-202 and

Sample 2 from Borings No. 91-206 appears to have this unreasonable relationship.